(4)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.No. 21 / 2004.

Petitioner - Pyarelal Mahobia aged 58 years,
S/o Bhulau lal Mahobia,
Retired Asstt. Sub-Inspector (M)
11th Battalian Bhilai,
Tahsil and Distt. DURG.

Versus.

Respondents -(1) The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh
Through - The Secretary
R. Home Department
D.K.S. Bhawan, RAIPUR.

(2) Deputy Inspector General (Admn.)
R. Police Head Quarter,
RAIPUR.

(3) Commandant,
R 11th/now 1st Batallion Special Armed Force,
Bhilai.

(4) Accountant General
Accounts & Hakdari Deptt.
R. Pagaria Complex,
Near Bus Stand,
PENDARI : RAIPUR.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ART. 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

C.R. No. 1510 Presented by Shri Vijay Shrivastava dated 20/10/04

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(32)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 21/04 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

13/04/2005: -

On a mention being made, the case is taken up for hearing.

Shri Ajay Shrivastava, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal, learned Panel Lawyer, for the State/respondents No.1, 2 & 3.

Shri R.S. Bhagel, learned counsel for respondent No.4.

The petitioner who was working as Assistant Sub Inspector in 11th Battalion, Bhilai sought retirement on medical ground on 09.03.2001 after completing continuous services of 36 years and his grievance is that his salary has not been fixed as per the order of the tribunal dated 13.02.2001 and G.P.F. amount has also not been paid.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, in the circumstances, submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 regarding non-payment of his salary and also to make a representation to respondent No.4 for payment of G.P.F., within two weeks and respondents 1 & 4 be directed to decide the representations, respectively, to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection.

Having heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make representations to respondents No.1 & 4 respectively raising his grievances, within a period of two weeks from today, in turn, respondents No.1 & 4 are directed to consider and decide the same within another three months from the date of receipt of the

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

23

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 21/04 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	representations in an objective manner considering the grievances of the petitioner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.5167 of 2004 and I.A. Nos.44 of 2004 & 9719 of 2004 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Brajesh **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

(पीछे देखिये)

20.4.05

28.4.05